27 ///

26

28 ///

ECF No. 8-2, ¶¶ 2-3. Alameda County is within the Northern District of California.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), Plaintiff represents that venue in the Northern District is more convenient both for witnesses and the parties, and that the interests of justice will accordingly be served by such a transfer. He points out that Plaintiff worked in Berkeley, lives in Richmond, and that most of his fellow employees and supervisors likely live in the area encompassed by the Northern District. Plaintiff's counsel informed the Court that he met and conferred with defense counsel on April 16, 2015, and that Defendant does not oppose Plaintiff's transfer request. Wynne Decl., ¶ 4. Indeed, no opposition has been filed. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff's Motion to Transfer (ECF No. 8), is GRANTED. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), the above-captioned case is hereby transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: June 3, 2015 

MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR. CHIEF JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT